arXiv:1703.05280v1 [math.KT] 15 Mar 2017

INVARIANTS OF THE $\mathbb{Z}_2$ ORBIFOLDS OF THE PODLEŚ TWO SPHERES.

SAFDAR QUDDUS

Abstract. There are two $\mathbb{Z}_2$ orbifolds of the Podleś quantum two-sphere, one being the quantum two-disc D_q and other the quantum two-dimensional real projective space $\mathbb{R}P^2_q$. In this article we calculate the Hochschild and cyclic homology and cohomology groups of these orbifolds and also the corresponding Chern-Connes indices.

0. Introduction

In noncommutative geometry Podleś noncommutative two-spheres [P] are noncommutative low-dimensional manifolds, these are $SU_q(2)$-homogeneous spaces. Denoted by $S^2_{q,s}$ where

$$q^n \neq 1 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

and $s \in [0,1]$, the Podleś quantum spheres are parametrized by s. We shall study the associated C*-algebra which corresponds to the algebra of smooth functions on the quantum two spheres $S^2_{q,s}$. An extensive study of the Dirac operators, spectral triples and corresponding local index formulae for the Podleś spheres can be found in articles [CP] [DL]. In the paper [GoJ] authors studied the quantum isometries of the Podleś spheres. In [HMS] the authors studied the quantum disc D_q and the two dimensional quantum real projective space $\mathbb{R}P^2_q$ arising by two different involutive automorphisms of $S^2_{q,1}$(for $s > 0, C(S^2_{q,s}) \cong C(S^2_{q,1})$[Sh]). All automorphisms of $S^2_{q,s}$ are known to be diagonal as described in [K]. Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the C*-algebra $C(S^2_{q,s})$ and $\rho \in Aut(\mathcal{A})$. Hochschild and cyclic homology of the Podleś quantum spheres was calculated by Masuda, Nakagami and Watanabe [MNW], using a free resolution . In [H] author used this resolution to calculate the Hochschild homologies $H_n(\mathcal{A}, {}_\rho\mathcal{A})$, which by [HK] are isomorphic to the twisted Hochschild homologies $HH^\rho_n(\mathcal{A})$. In the untwisted situation [MNW] the Hochschild groups $H_n(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$ vanish for $n \geq 2$, in contrast to the classical situation $q = 1$. However, in the twisted situation, there exists an automorphisms ρ with $H_n(\mathcal{A}, {}_\rho\mathcal{A}) \neq 0$ for $n = 0, 1, 2$. These automorphisms which are the positive powers of the canonical modular automorphism associated to the $SU_q(2)$-invariant linear functional is not an order two automorphism and hence will not be discussed here, but it is interesting to note this phenomenon studied in detail in [H].

To our knowledge, the Hochschild and periodic cyclic (co)homology of these two $\mathbb{Z}_2$ orbifolds of the Podleś two spheres are not known in the literature. In this article we compute the Hochschild and cyclic homology and cohomology groups of the two $S^2_{q,1}$ $\mathbb{Z}_2$-orbifolds D_q and $\mathbb{R}P^2_q$. We also compute the Chern-Connes indices for each of these orbifolds by pairing the even periodic cocycles with the projections. While the projection for the quantum two dimensional real projective space was calculated in [HMS], for the quantum disc case the group $K_0(C(D_q)) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ as $C(D_q)$ is a Toeplitz algebra [We, pp 191].

Date: March 16, 2017.

Key words and phrases. Homology, non-commutative spheres.

1. $\mathbb{Z}_2$ actions on the Podleś sphere.

The Podleś spheres $S^2_{q,s}$ has its C*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$, closure of the *-algebra generated by A and B satisfying the following relations:

$$A = A^*,\ BA = q^2AB,\ B^*B + A^2 = (1-s^2)A + s^2,\ BB^* + q^4A^2 = (1-s^2)q^2A + s^2.$$

The automorphism of $\mathcal{A}$ acts diagonally on the generators, explicity for $\rho \in Aut(\mathcal{A})$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, ρ has one of the following actions on the generators:

$$\sigma_\lambda(B) = \lambda B,\ \sigma_\lambda(A) = A,\ \sigma_\lambda(B^*) = \lambda^{-1}B^*.$$
$$\mu_\lambda(B) = \lambda B,\ \mu_\lambda(A) = -A,\ \mu_\lambda(B^*) = \lambda^{-1}B^*.$$

For $\rho = \sigma_{-1}$, the algebra $\mathcal{A} \rtimes_{\sigma_{-1}} \mathbb{Z}_2$ is associated to the quantum disc D_q, while for $\rho = \mu_{-1}$; the algebra $\mathcal{A} \rtimes_{\mu_{-1}} \mathbb{Z}_2$ corresponds to the quantum real projective space $\mathbb{R}P^2_q$[HMS].

2. Strategy of the proof

We use the paracyclic decomposition technique to decompose the the homology groups[GJ]. One can use the results of [GJ] to deduce the following decomposition of the homology group of the algebra $\mathcal{A} \rtimes \Gamma$.

THEOREM 2.1. [GJ] *If Γ is finite and $|\Gamma|$ is invertible in k, then there is a natural isomorphism of cyclic homology and*

$$HH_\bullet(\mathcal{A} \rtimes \Gamma) = HH_\bullet(H_0(\Gamma, (\mathcal{A})^\sharp_\Gamma),$$

where $(H_0(\Gamma, (\mathcal{A})^\sharp_\Gamma)$ is the cyclic module

$$H_0(\Gamma, (\mathcal{A})^\sharp_\Gamma)(n) = H_0(\Gamma, k[\Gamma] \otimes (\mathcal{A})^{\otimes n+1}).$$

Since Γ is abelian, we can conclude that the group homology $H_0(\Gamma, \mathcal{A}^\natural_\Gamma)$ splits the complex into $|\Gamma|$ disjoint parts.

$$H_0(\Gamma, \mathcal{A}^\natural_\Gamma)(n) = H_0(\Gamma, k[\Gamma] \otimes (\mathcal{A})^{\otimes n+1}) = \bigoplus_{t\in\Gamma}((_t\mathcal{A})^{\otimes n+1})^\Gamma$$

For each $t \in \Gamma$, the algebra $_t\mathcal{A}$ is set-wise $\mathcal{A}$ with the twisted Hochschild differential $_tb$ acting as

$$_tb(a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n) = b'(a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n) + (-)^n((t \cdot a_n)a_) \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n-1})$$

on the complex $_t\mathcal{A}^{\otimes(\bullet+1)}$. We therefore decompose Hochschild homology $HH_\bullet(\mathcal{A} \rtimes \Gamma)$ as follows:

$$HH_\bullet(\mathcal{A} \rtimes \Gamma) = HH_\bullet(H_0(\Gamma, \mathcal{A}^\natural_\Gamma)) = \bigoplus_{t\in\Gamma} HH_\bullet((_t\mathcal{A}^\bullet)^\Gamma).$$

It is enough to calculate $HH_\bullet((_t\mathcal{A}^\bullet)^\Gamma)$ for each $t \in \Gamma$. To calculate these individual homology groups, we use the lemma below.

LEMMA 2.2. [Q] *Let*

$$J_* := 0 \overset{d}{\leftarrow} A \overset{d}{\leftarrow} (\mathcal{A}^{\otimes 2}) \overset{d}{\leftarrow} (\mathcal{A}^{\otimes 3}) \overset{d}{\leftarrow} (\mathcal{A}^{\otimes 4}) \overset{d}{\leftarrow} (\mathcal{A}^{\otimes 5}) \overset{d}{\leftarrow} ...$$

be a chain complex. For a given Γ *action on* $\mathcal{A}$*, consider the following chain complex, with chain map* $d^\Gamma : (\mathcal{A}^{\otimes n})^\Gamma \to (\mathcal{A}^{\otimes n-1})^\Gamma$ *induced from the map* $d : \mathcal{A}^{\otimes n} \to \mathcal{A}^{\otimes n-1}$*.*

$$J_*^\Gamma := 0 \xleftarrow{d^\Gamma} \mathcal{A}^\Gamma \xleftarrow{d^\Gamma} (\mathcal{A}^{\otimes 2})^\Gamma \xleftarrow{d^\Gamma} (\mathcal{A}^{\otimes 3})^\Gamma \xleftarrow{d^\Gamma} (\mathcal{A}^{\otimes 4})^\Gamma \xleftarrow{d^\Gamma} (\mathcal{A}^{\otimes 5})^\Gamma \xleftarrow{d^\Gamma} ...$$

With the Γ *action commuting with the differential* d*. We have the following group equality* $H_\bullet(J_*^\Gamma, d^\Gamma) = H_\bullet(J_*, d)^\Gamma$*.*

Hence using the above lemma we have the following is the decomposition of the Hochschild homology group $H_\bullet(\mathcal{A} \rtimes_\rho \mathbb{Z}_2)$:

$$H_\bullet(\mathcal{A} \rtimes_\rho \mathbb{Z}_2) = H_\bullet(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})^\rho \oplus H_\bullet(\mathcal{A}, {}_\rho\mathcal{A})^\rho.$$

Where ${}_\rho\mathcal{A}$, set-wise $\mathcal{A}$, is an $\mathcal{A}^e (= \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}^{op})$ bi-module with the following actions:

$$\alpha \cdot a = (-1 \cdot \alpha)a \text{ and } a \cdot \alpha = a\alpha, \text{ for } \alpha \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } a \in {}_\rho\mathcal{A}$$

The Hochschild and cyclic homology groups of $H_\bullet(\mathcal{A}, {}_\rho\mathcal{A})$ and $HC_\bullet(\mathcal{A}, {}_\rho\mathcal{A})$ equal the twisted Hochschild and cyclic homology groups $HH_\bullet^\rho(\mathcal{A})$ and $HC_\bullet^\rho(\mathcal{A})$ as ρ is diagonal [K]. Hence in order to understand $H_\bullet(\mathcal{A} \rtimes_\rho \mathbb{Z}_2)$ we need to understand the ρ invariant subgroups of $H_\bullet(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$ and $H_\bullet(\mathcal{A}, {}_\rho\mathcal{A})$. For this we use the MNW resolution which we describe below.

In the article [MNW], the authors presented a resolution of $\mathcal{A}$,

$$\cdots \to \mathcal{M}_{n+1} \to \mathcal{M}_n \cdots \to \mathcal{M}_2 \to \mathcal{M}_1 \to \mathcal{M}_0 \to \mathcal{A} \to 0$$

by free left $\mathcal{A}^e$-modules $\mathcal{M}_n$, with $rank(\mathcal{M}_0) = 1$, $rank(\mathcal{M}_1) = 3$ and $rank(\mathcal{M}_n) = 4$ for $n \geq 2$. Adapting their notation, $\mathcal{M}_1$ has basis $\{e_A, e_B, e_{B^*}\}$, with $d_1 : \mathcal{M}_1 \to \mathcal{M}_0 = \mathcal{A}^e$ given by

$$d_1(e_t) = t \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes t^o, \ t = A, B, B^*.$$

The module $\mathcal{M}_2$ has basis $\{e_a \wedge e_B, e_A \wedge e_B^*, \vartheta_S^{(1)}, \vartheta_T^{(1)}\}$, with $d_2 : \mathcal{M}_2 \to \mathcal{M}_1$ given by

$$d_2(1_{\mathcal{A}^e} \otimes (e_A \wedge e_{B^*})) = (A \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes q^2 A^o) \otimes e_{B^*} - (q^2 B^* \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes B^{*o}) \otimes e_A,$$

$$d_2(1_{\mathcal{A}^e} \otimes (e_A \wedge e_{B^*})) = (q^2 A \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes A^o) \otimes e_B - (B \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes q^2 B^o) \otimes e_A,$$

$$d_2(1_{\mathcal{A}^e} \otimes \vartheta_S^{(1)}) = -q^{-1}(B \otimes 1 \otimes e_{B^*} + 1 \otimes B^{*o} \otimes e_B) - q(q^2(A \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes A^o) \otimes e_A,$$

$$d_2(1_{\mathcal{A}^e} \otimes \vartheta_T^{(1)}) = -q^{-1}(1 \otimes B^o \otimes e_{B^*} + B^* \otimes 1 \otimes e_B) - q^{-1}((A \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes A^o) \otimes e_A.$$

The maps d_i; $i \geq 3$ are not needed in this article, for reference purpose we refer to [MNW].

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
\longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_2 & \xrightarrow{d_2} & \mathcal{M}_1 & \xrightarrow{d_1} & \mathcal{M}_0 & \xrightarrow{d_0} & \mathcal{A} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & \uparrow{\scriptstyle h_2}\downarrow{\scriptstyle f_2} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle h_1}\downarrow{\scriptstyle f_1} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle h_0}\downarrow{\scriptstyle f_0} & & \downarrow\cong & & \\
\longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}^{\otimes 4} & \xrightarrow{b'} & \mathcal{A}^{\otimes 3} & \xrightarrow{b'} & \mathcal{A}^{\otimes 2} & \xrightarrow{b'} & \mathcal{A} & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}$$

There exists chain homotopy equivalence between the MNW and the bar resolution of $\mathcal{A}$. This is a standard construction in homological algebra. Let $(\mathcal{M}, d)$ denote the MNW resolution of $\mathcal{A}$ and let $(\mathcal{N}, b')$ be the bar resolution. Then we have $\{\mathcal{M}\}_i = \mathcal{M}_i$ and $\{\mathcal{N}\}_i = \mathcal{A}^{\otimes(i+2)}$. And the maps $\{f\}_{i\geq 0} : \mathcal{M}_i \to \mathcal{A}^{\otimes(i+2)}$ lift the identity map on $\mathcal{A}$ onto the complex between the resolutions. Simialrly let $\{h\}_{i\geq 0} : \mathcal{A}^{\otimes(i+2)} \to \mathcal{M}_i$ be the chain homotopy equivalence map between the resolutions. Explicitly these maps are as follows:

$$f_0(a_1 \otimes a_2^o) = (a_1, a_2), \ f_1(e_t) = (1, t, 1); \text{ for } t = A, B, B^*.$$

The maps f_i, $i \geq 2$ can be found inductively satisfying the relation $f_i \cdot d_{i+1} = b' \cdot f_{i+1}$.

Since a Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt(PBW) basis for $\mathcal{A}$ consists of the monomials

$$\{A^k B^j\}_{j,k\geq 0}, \{A^k B^{*(j+1)}\}_{j,k\geq 0}$$

we define h_1 on the above PBW basis elements. For $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, $h_0(a,b) = a \otimes b^o$ and for $t = B, B^*$

$$h_1(a, A^n t^m, b) = (a \otimes b^o)\{(t^m)^o (A^{n-1})^o e_A + (t^m)^o (A^{n-2})^o A e_A + ... + (t^m)^o A^{n-1} e_A + A^n (t^{m-1})^o e_t + A^n (t^{m-2})^o t e_t + ... + A^n t^{m-1} e_t\}.$$

In order to locate the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ invariant cocycles of $H^\bullet(\mathcal{A}, {}_\rho\mathcal{A})$, we need to use the resolution homotopy maps

$$h_* : \mathcal{N}_* \to \mathcal{M}_*$$

and

$$f_* : \mathcal{M}_* \to \mathcal{N}_*.$$

We push a cocycles $\mathcal{D}$ into the bar complex and let $\mathbb{Z}_2$ act on it. Then, in the MNW complex, we compare the pullback of this $\mathbb{Z}_2$-acted cocycle with $\mathcal{D}$ to check the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ invariance.

3. The quantum disc

For $\rho = \sigma_{-1}$, the algebra $\mathcal{A} \rtimes_{\sigma_{-1}} \mathbb{Z}_2$ corresponds to the quantum disc D_q.

THEOREM 3.1 (Hochschild and Cyclic Homology)**.** *The Hochschild and cyclic homology groups of D_q are as follows:*

$$H_\bullet(C(D_q), C(D_q)) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} & for\ \bullet = 0, 1 \\ 0 & for\ \bullet > 1. \end{cases}$$

$$HP_\bullet(C(D_q)) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}^4 & for\ \bullet = 2n \\ 0 & for\ \bullet = 2n+1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We have the following :

$$H_\bullet(C(D_q), C(D_q)) = H_\bullet(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})^{\sigma_{-1}} \oplus H_\bullet(\mathcal{A}, {}_{\sigma_{-1}}\mathcal{A})^{\sigma_{-1}}.$$

From [H] we know that $H_0(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}) = \mathbb{C}[1] \oplus \mathbb{C}[A] \oplus \Sigma^{\oplus}_{m\geq 1} \mathbb{C}[B^m] \oplus \Sigma^{\oplus}_{m\geq 1} \mathbb{C}[B^{*m}]$. We push each of these cyclces into the bar complex using the map f_0 and let σ_{-1} act on them, thereafter we pull it back to the MNW complex using the map h_0. We see that:

$$H_0(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})^{\sigma_{-1}} = \mathbb{C}[1] \oplus \mathbb{C}[A] \oplus \Sigma^{\oplus}_{m\geq 1} \mathbb{C}[B^{2m}] \oplus \Sigma^{\oplus}_{m\geq 1} \mathbb{C}[B^{*2m}].$$

Similarly we also get that

$$H_0(\mathcal{A}, {}_{\sigma_{-1}}\mathcal{A})^{\sigma_{-1}} = \mathbb{C}[1] \oplus \mathbb{C}[A].$$

Hence we have $H_0(C(D_q), C(D_q)) \cong \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$. Similarly we compute that $H_1(C(D_q), C(D_q))$, we use maps h_1 and f_1 to locate the invariant cyclic cocycles in the groups $H_\bullet(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})^{\sigma_{-1}}$ and $H_\bullet(\mathcal{A}, {}_{\sigma_{-1}}\mathcal{A})^{\sigma_{-1}}$. The group $H_1(C(D_q), C(D_q))$ is generated by the elements $1 \otimes {}_{\sigma_{-1}}e_A$, $1 \otimes e_A$, $\{B^{2j+1} \otimes e_B\}_{j\geq 0}$ and $\{B^{*2j+1} \otimes e_{B^*}\}_{j\geq 0}$. Here ${}_{\sigma_{-1}}e_A$ denotes the invariant copy of the cycle $e_A \in H_0(\mathcal{A}, {}_{\sigma_{-1}}\mathcal{A})$. Since for $\rho = id$ and σ_{-1} and $\bullet > 1$, $H_\bullet(\mathcal{A}, {}_\rho\mathcal{A}) = 0$. We have

$$H_\bullet(C(D_q), C(D_q)) = 0 \text{ for } \bullet > 1.$$

In a similar way we compute $HC_\bullet(C(D_q), C(D_q))$. We observe that the cyclic homology group $HC^\rho_{2n}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbb{C}[1] \oplus \mathbb{C}[A]$ and $HC^\rho_{2n+1}(\mathcal{A}) = 0$ for $\rho \in \{\sigma_{-1}, id\}$ [H]. Using the paracyclic decomposition for the cyclic homology $HC_\bullet(C(D_q))$ we have:

$$HC_\bullet(C(D_q)) = HC_\bullet(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})^{\sigma_{-1}} \oplus HC_\bullet(\mathcal{A}, {}_{\sigma_{-1}}\mathcal{A})^{\sigma_{-1}}.$$

We now check that each of the four cycles of $HC_{2n}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}) \oplus HC_{2n}(\mathcal{A}, {}_{\sigma_{-1}}\mathcal{A})$ are σ_{-1} invariant. □

COROLLARY 3.2 (Hochschild and Cyclic Cohomology)**.** *The Hochschild and cyclic homology groups of D_q are as follows:*

$$H^\bullet(C(D_q), C(D_q)') \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} & \text{for } \bullet = 0, 1 \\ 0 & \text{for } \bullet > 1. \end{cases}$$

$$HP^\bullet(C(D_q)) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}^4 & \text{for } \bullet = 2n \\ 0 & \text{for } \bullet = 2n+1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Using the universal coefficient theorem we have relation between the Hochschild homology and the cohomology with dual algebra as the coefficient, $H_\bullet(C(D_q), C(D_q))' = H^\bullet(C(D_q), C(D_q)')$ [LJ]. Hence $H^\bullet(D_q, D_q') = 0$ for $\bullet > 1$. And similarly we conclude that for $\bullet = 0, 1$; Hochschild cohomology groups are countably infinite in dimension. For periodic cyclic cohomology we consider the B, S, I long exact sequence for Hochschild and cyclic cohomology and use that fact that higher Hochschild cohomology groups vanish.

$$\cdots \to HH^1({}_{\sigma_{-1}}\mathcal{A})^{\sigma_{-1}} \xrightarrow{B} HC^0({}_{\sigma_{-1}}\mathcal{A})^{\sigma_{-1}} \xrightarrow{S} HC^2({}_{\sigma_{-1}}\mathcal{A})^{\sigma_{-1}} \xrightarrow{I} HH^2({}_{\sigma_{-1}}\mathcal{A})^{\sigma_{-1}} \xrightarrow{B} HC^1({}_{\sigma_{-1}}\mathcal{A})^{\sigma_{-1}} \xrightarrow{S} \cdots$$

Since $HC^\rho_{2n}(\mathcal{A}) = k[1] \oplus k[A]$ for $\rho = \{id, \sigma_{-1}\}$[H, Prop. 5.2], and the above spectral sequence stabilizes with all further maps being zero, we conclude that the group $HP^{even}(C(D_q), C(D_q)) \cong \mathbb{C}^4$ and is generated by $[\tau_0]$, $[f_A]$ and $[{}_{\sigma_{-1}}\tau_0]$ and $[{}_{\sigma_{-1}}f_A]$, where for $\tau_0(1) = 1$ and $\tau_0(a) = 0$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, and f_A is the Haar state on $\mathcal{A}(SU_q(2))$ restricted to the Podleś sphere and is given by $f_A(A^{r+1}) = (1-q^4)(1-q^{2r+4})^{-1}$ and for $s > 0$ and it vanishes on the PBW basis elements A^rB^s and A^rB^{*s}; $f_A(A^rB^s) = 0 = f_A(A^rB^{*s})$[SW]. For $a \in \mathcal{A}$ the element ${}_{\sigma_{-1}}a \in {}_{\sigma_{-1}}\mathcal{A}$ and hence ${}_{\sigma_{-1}}\tau_0(1_{{}_{\sigma_{-1}}\mathcal{A}}) = 1$ and ${}_{\sigma_{-1}}\tau_0(a) = 0$ for $a \in {}_{\sigma-1}\mathcal{A}$. And likewise we defined the Haar measure ${}_{\sigma_{-1}}f_A$ on the σ_{-1} copy of $\mathcal{A}$. □

4. The quantum real projective space

THEOREM 4.1 (Hochschild and Cyclic Homology)**.** *The Hochschild and cyclic homology groups of $\mathbb{R}P^2_q$ are as follows:*

$$H_\bullet(C(\mathbb{R}P^2_q), C(\mathbb{R}P^2_q)) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} & \text{for } \bullet = 0, 1 \\ 0 & \text{for } \bullet > 1. \end{cases}$$

$$HC_\bullet(C(\mathbb{R}P^2_q), C(\mathbb{R}P^2_q)) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}^2 & \text{for } \bullet = 2n \\ 0 & \text{for } \bullet = 2n+1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Similar to the quantum disc case, we have the following:

$$H_\bullet(C(\mathbb{R}P^2_q)) = H_\bullet(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})^{\mu_{-1}} \oplus H_\bullet(\mathcal{A}, {}_{\mu_{-1}}\mathcal{A})^{\mu_{-1}}.$$

From [H] we know that $H_0(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}) = \mathbb{C}[1] \oplus \mathbb{C}[A] \oplus \Sigma^{\oplus}_{m\geq 1}\mathbb{C}[B^m] \oplus \Sigma^{\oplus}_{m\geq 1}\mathbb{C}[B^{*m}]$. We observe that:

$$H_0(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A})^{\mu_{-1}} = \mathbb{C}[1] \oplus \Sigma^{\oplus}_{m\geq 1}\mathbb{C}[B^{2m}] \oplus \Sigma^{\oplus}_{m\geq 1}\mathbb{C}[B^{*2m}].$$

Similarly we also have

$$H_0(\mathcal{A},{}_{\mu_{-1}}\mathcal{A})^{\mu_{-1}} = \mathbb{C}[1].$$

Hence we have $H_0(D_q, D_q) \cong \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$. For $\rho = \mu_{-1}$, $H_1(\mathcal{A},{}_{\mu_{-1}}\mathcal{A}) = 0$ and hence $H_1(C(\mathbb{R}P^2_q), C(\mathbb{R}P^2_q))$ is countably infinite dimensional generated by the elements $1 \otimes e_A$, $\{B^{2j+1} \otimes e_B\}_{j\geq 0}$ and $\{B^{*2j+1} \otimes e_{B^*}\}_{j\geq 0}$. Higher Hochschild homology groups $HH^{\rho}_{\bullet}({}_{\mu_{-1}}\mathcal{A})$ for $(\bullet > 1)$ vanishes[H] and hence using the paracyclic decomposition for $H_{\bullet}(C(\mathbb{R}P^2_q), C(\mathbb{R}P^2_q))$ we conclude that:

$$H_{\bullet}(C(\mathbb{R}P^2_q), C(\mathbb{R}P^2_q)) = 0 \text{ for } \bullet > 1.$$

To compute $HC_{\bullet}(C(\mathbb{R}P^2_q), C(\mathbb{R}P^2_q))$. We observe that the cyclic homology group $HC^{\mu_{-1}}_n(\mathcal{A}) = 0$ for all $n > 0$[H]. Using the paracyclic decomposition for the cyclic homology $HC_{\bullet}(\mathbb{R}P^2_q)$ we have:

$$HC_{\bullet}(C(\mathbb{R}P^2_q)) = HC_{\bullet}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A})^{\mu_{-1}} \oplus HC_{\bullet}(\mathcal{A},{}_{\mu_{-1}}\mathcal{A})^{\mu_{-1}}.$$

We now check that both the cycles of $HC_{2n}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A})$ are μ_{-1} invariant. Hence the result. □

COROLLARY 4.2 (Hochschild and Cyclic Cohomology)**.** *The Hochschild and cyclic homology groups of $\mathbb{R}P^2_q$ are as follows:*

$$H^{\bullet}(C(\mathbb{R}P^2_q), C(\mathbb{R}P^2_q)) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} & \text{for } \bullet = 0, 1 \\ 0 & \text{for } \bullet > 1. \end{cases}$$

$$HP^{\bullet}(C(\mathbb{R}P^2_q)) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} & \text{for } \bullet = 2n \\ 0 & \text{for } \bullet = 2n+1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Through the reasoning as before we conclude that for $\bullet = 0, 1$; Hochschild cohomology groups are countably infinite in dimension and vanishes for $\bullet > 1$. For periodic cyclic cohomology we have that the group $HP^{even}(C(\mathbb{R}P^2_q), C(\mathbb{R}P^2_q)) \cong \mathbb{C}$ and is generated by $\mathbb{C}[1]$. This is so because $H_{\bullet}(\mathcal{A},{}_{\tau_{-1}}) = 0$ for $\bullet > 0$ and Among the two cocycles of $HP^{even}(\mathcal{A})$, only the one dimensional subspace spanned by [1] is τ_{-1} invariant. Hence the result. □

5. Chern-Connes Indices

Chern-Connes indices are useful invariants in noncommutative geometry. Explicitly, for a C*-algebra $\mathcal{B}$ over $\mathbb{C}$ we have the following map[LJ, Section 8]:

$$ch_{0,n} : K_0(\mathcal{B}) \to HC_{2n}(\mathcal{B}).$$

defined by

$$[e] \mapsto tr(c(e)).$$

where $K_0(\mathcal{B})$ is the Grothendieck group of the ring $\mathcal{B}$. We have the following pairing:

$$K_0(\mathcal{B}) \times HC^{2n}(\mathcal{B}) \xrightarrow{ch\times id} HC_{2n}(\mathcal{B}) \times HC^{2n}(\mathcal{B}) \to \mathbb{C}.$$

In this section we calculate the above pairing for the quantum disc D_q and the quantum real projective space $\mathbb{R}P^2_q$. The vanishing of the second Hochschild homology leaves the two orbifolds with fewer periodic cocycles than expected. While the algebra $C(D_q)$ is a Toeplitz algebra and hence $K_0(C(D_q)) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and is generated by $[1_{D_q}]$. Since $HC^{2n}(D_q) \cong \mathbb{C}^4$. Hence we have the following Chern-Connes index table for D_q.

	$S\tau_0$	Sf_A	$S_{\sigma_{-1}}\tau_0$	$S_{\sigma_{-1}}f_A$
$[1_{D_q}]$	1	0	0	0

Similarly we have a description of the group $K_0(\mathbb{R}P_q^2) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ [HMS]generated by [1] and $[P]$. Hence we have the following Chern-Connes index table for $\mathbb{R}P_q^2$:

	$S\tau_0$
$[1_{\mathbb{R}P_q^2}]$	1
$[P]$	0

6. Conclusion

We see that the quantum parameter q does not appear in the Chern-Connes indices of both the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ orbifolds of the Podleś quantum spheres. This can be attributed to the vanishing of the second homology of the Podleś spheres. We see that similar computations for the Podleś spheres yielded more invariants [W], but the vanishing of several projection of the the Podleś spheres after $\mathbb{Z}_2$ action leaves few projections on the quotient space.

Acknowledgment: I acknowledge the discussion with Dr. Ulrich Krähmer and Prof. Xiang Tang and their valuable comments and references for the same.

References

[CP] P. Chakraborty, A. Pal :Spectral triples and associated Connes-de Rham complex for the quantum SU(2) and the quantum sphere. *Comm. Math. Phys. 240, no. 3, 447-456 (2003).*

[DL] L. Dabrowski, A. Sitarz, Dirac operator on the standard Podleś quantum sphere. In: Noncommutative geometry and quantum groups. *Proceedings, Warsaw, 2001. Banach Center Publ., 61, Polish Acad. Sci., 49-58 (2003).*

[GJ] E. Getzler and D. John: The cyclic homology of crossed product algebras, *Journal fr die reine und angewandte Mathematik, Volume 445, 161-174 (1993).*

[GoJ] D. Goswami and J. Bhowmick: Quantum Isometry groups of the Podles Spheres, *Journal of Functional Analysis, Volume 258(9), 2937-2960 (2010).*

[H] T. Hadfield: Twisted cyclic homology of all Podleś quantum speheres, *Journal of Geometry and Physics, 57(2), (2007), pp. 339-351.*

[HK] T. Hadfield, U. Krähmer, *Twisted homology of quantum SL(2). K-theory 34, no. 4, 327-360 (2005).*

[HMS] P. Hajac, R. Maththes and W. Szymanski, Quantum Real and Projective Space, Disc and Sphere, *Algebra and Representation Com. Alg.,20-2, 1992, pp. 489-507.*

[LJ] J. Loday: Cyclic homology(ISBN 3540630740) *Springer, Second Edition.*

[K] U. Krahmer: On the non-standard Podleś spheres *C*-algebras and Elliptic Theory II, ISBN 978-3-7643-8603-0, 145-147.*

[MNW] T. Masuda, Y. Nakagami and J. Wantanabi,Noncommutative Differential Geometry on the Quantum Two Spehere of Podleś. I: An Algebraic Viewpoint , *K-Theory,5, 1991, pp. 151-175.*

[P] P. Podleś: Quantum speheres, *Lett. Math. Phys., 18, (1987), pp. 107-119.*

[Q] S. Quddus: Hochschild and cyclic homology of the crossed product of algebraic irrational rotational algebra by finite subgraoups of $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$, *J. Algebra 447 (2016), 322–366.*

[Sh] A. Sheu: Quantization of the Poisson $SU(2)$ and its Poisson homogeneous space—the 2-sphereWith an appendix by Jiang-Hua Lu and Alan Weinstein, *Comm. Math. Phys., Vol 135, (1991), 217-232.*

[SW] K. Schmüdgen, E. Wagner: *Dirac operator and a twisted cyclic cocycle on the standard quantum sphere. J. Reine Angew. Math. 574, 219-235 (2004).*

[W] E. Wangner: On the noncommutative spin geometry of the standard Podleś sphere and index computations, *Journal of Geometry and Physics, Volume 59(7), 2009, Pages 998–1016.*

[We] N.E. Wegge-Olsen: K-Theory and C*-algebras, *Oxford Science Publication, ISBN: 9780198596943*

School of Mathematical Sciences, NISER, Bhubaneswar, India

E-mail address: safdar@niser.ac.in